



Minutes of MAYOR AND COUNCIL Meeting

Approved by Mayor and Council
on November 13, 2013.

Date of Meeting: May 29, 2013

The Mayor and Council of the City of Tucson met in regular session in the Mayor and Council Chambers in City Hall, 255 West Alameda Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 5:35 p.m., on Wednesday, May 29, 2013, all members having been notified of the time and place thereof.

1. **ROLL CALL**

The meeting was called to order by Mayor Rothschild and upon roll call, those present and absent were:

Present:

Regina Romero
Paul Cunningham
Karin Uhlich
Shirley C. Scott
Richard G. Fimbres
Steve Kozachik
Jonathan Rothschild

Vice Mayor, Council Member Ward 1
Council Member Ward 2
Council Member Ward 3
Council Member Ward 4
Council Member Ward 5
Council Member Ward 6
Mayor

Absent/Excused:

None

Staff Members Present:

Richard Miranda
Michael Rankin
Roger W. Randolph

City Manager
City Attorney
City Clerk

2. INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The invocation was given by Rabbi Samuel Cohon, Temple Emanu-El, after which the Pledge of Allegiance was presented by the entire assembly.

Presentations:

- a. Mayor Rothschild proclaimed May 2013 to be "Better Hearing Month." Dr. Janice Gasch accepted the proclamation.

3. MAYOR AND COUNCIL REPORT: SUMMARY OF CURRENT EVENTS

Mayor Rothschild announced City Manager's communication number 198, dated May 29, 2013, was received into and made part of the record. He also announced this was the time scheduled to allow members of the Mayor and Council to report on current events and asked if there were any reports.

Current event reports were provided by Vice Mayor Romero and Council Members Cunningham, Scott, and Fimbres. A recording of this item is available from the City Clerk's Office for ten years from the date of this meeting.

4. CITY MANAGER'S REPORT: SUMMARY OF CURRENT EVENTS

Mayor Rothschild announced City Manager's communication number 199, dated May 29, 2013, was received into and made part of the record. He also announced this was the time scheduled to allow the City Manager to report on current events, and asked for that report.

Current event reports were given by Richard Miranda, City Manager, and Liz Miller, Deputy City Manager. A recording of this item is available from the City Clerk's Office for ten years from the date of this meeting.

5. LIQUOR LICENSE APPLICATIONS

Mayor Rothschild announced City Manager's communication number 200, dated May 29, 2013, was received into and made part of the record. He asked the City Clerk to read the Liquor License Agenda.

- b. Liquor License Application(s)

New License(s)

1. Dante's Fire, Ward 6
2526 E. Grant Rd.
Applicant: Jonathon Ross Tuck
Series 12, City 23-13
Action must be taken by: June 6, 2013

Staff has indicated the applicant is in compliance with city requirements.

NOTE: State law provides that for a new license application, "In all proceedings before the governing body of a city...the applicant bears the burden of showing that the public convenience requires and that the best interest of the community will be substantially served by the issuance of a license". (A.R.S. Section 4-201)

Person Transfer(s)

2. Szechuan Omei Restaurant, Ward 6
2601 E. Speedway Blvd.
Applicant: John Hoang Lam
Series 7, City 24-13
Action must be taken by: June 7, 2013

Staff has indicated the applicant is in compliance with city requirements.

NOTE: State law provides that for a person to person transfer, Mayor and Council may consider the applicant's capability, qualifications and reliability. (A.R.S. Section 4-203)

c. Special Event(s)

1. Tucson Botanical Gardens, Ward 6
2150 N. Alvernon Way
Applicant: Amy Marie Collinsworth
City T66-13
Date of Event: August 15, 2013
(Fundraising Concert)

Staff has indicated the applicant is in compliance with city requirements.

2. Tucson Botanical Gardens, Ward 6
2150 N. Alvernon Way
Applicant: Amy Marie Collinsworth
City T67-13
Date of Event: September 19, 2013
(Fundraising Concert)

Staff has indicated the applicant is in compliance with city requirements.

3. Tucson Children's Museum, Inc., Ward 6
200 S. 6th Ave.
Applicant: Michael Joseph Luria
City T71-13
Date of Event: June 6, 2013
(Awareness Event)

Staff has indicated the applicant is in compliance with city requirements.

d. Agent Change/Acquisition of Control

NOTE: There were no application(s) for agent changes scheduled for this meeting.

It was moved by Council Member Kozachik, duly seconded, and carried by a voice vote of 7 to 0, to forward liquor license applications 5b1 and 5b2, and 5c1 through 5c 3 to the Arizona State Liquor Board with a recommendation for approval.

6. CALL TO THE AUDIENCE

Mayor Rothschild announced this was the time any member of the public was allowed to address the Mayor and Council on any issue except for items scheduled for a public hearing. Speakers were limited to three-minute presentations.

Mayor Rothschild also announced that pursuant to the Arizona Open Meeting Law, individual Council Members may ask the City Manager to review the matter, ask that the matter be placed on a future agenda, or respond to criticism made by speakers. However, the Mayor and Council may not discuss or take legal action on matters raised during “call to the audience.”

Comments were made by:

Susan Caldwell	William Schumacher	Bianca Lucrecia
Adriana Moerkerken	Mario Gonzales	Ken Johnson
Kenneth Miller	Peter Gamble	Dino J. DeConcini
Brad Richards	Cecilia Cruz	Mikki Niemi
Ren Gene Lefebvre	Edward Birch	Clay Wood
Paul Parisi	Deyanira N. Martinez	Alana Wills Blickley
Mario Acedo		

A recording of this item is available from the City Clerk’s Office for ten years from the date of this meeting.

7. CONSENT AGENDA – ITEMS A THROUGH E

Mayor Rothschild announced the reports and recommendations from the City Manager on the Consent Agenda were received into and made part of the record. He asked the City Clerk to read the Consent Agenda.

a. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

1. Report from City Manager MAY29-13-201 CITY WIDE
2. Mayor and Council Regular Meeting Minutes of October 23, 2012

3. Mayor and Council Study Session Legal Action Report and Summary Minutes of October 23, 2012
- b. FINANCE: AUTHORIZING AN EQUIPMENT LEASE-PURCHASE AGREEMENT FOR THE INSTALLATION OF BLEACHERS IN THE TUCSON CONVENTION CENTER
 1. Report from City Manager MAY29-13-210 CITY WIDE
 2. Resolution No. 22035 a resolution of the Mayor and Council of the City of Tucson, Arizona, authorizing and approving the execution and delivery of an Equipment Lease-Purchase Agreement; approving negotiations with the purchaser of the Equipment Lease-Purchase Agreement; fixing the maximum aggregate principle amount and the maximum term of the lease payments to be made pursuant to the Equipment Lease-Purchase Agreement; and declaring an emergency.

(This item was considered separately at the request of Council Member Fimbres.)
- c. REAL PROPERTY: APPROVING A LEASE AGREEMENT WITH DESTINATION SOUTHERN AFRICA, INC. FOR SPACE LOCATED IN THE HISTORIC DEPOT AT 400 NORTH TOOLE AVENUE
 1. Report from City Manager MAY29-13-207 WARD 6
 2. Ordinance No. 11079 relating to Real Property; authorizing and approving the Lease Agreement between the City of Tucson and Destination Southern Africa, Inc. for use of space located at the Historic Depot at 400 North Toole Avenue, Suite 280; and declaring an emergency.
- d. FINANCIAL PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT: WITH TUCSON CLEAN AND BEAUTIFUL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014 AND AMENDING THE AGREEMENT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2013
 1. Report from City Manager MAY29-13-208 CITY WIDE
 2. Resolution No. 22057 relating to Environmental Services; authorizing and approving Amendment No. 1 to the Fiscal Year 2013 Financial Participation Agreement and the Fiscal Year 2014 Financial Participation Agreement between the City of Tucson and Tucson Clean and Beautiful; and declaring an emergency.
- e. TUCSON CODE: AMENDING (CHAPTER 2) RELATING TO THE NEW SUNGO FARE PAYMENT SYSTEM
 1. Report from City Manager MAY29-13-213 CITY WIDE

2. Ordinance No. 11082 relating to Transportation; amending the Tucson Code, Chapter 2, Article I, Section 2-18 (Sun Tran fares); Section 2-19 (Sun Van fares); and Section 2-22 (Transit System Fare Subsidy Program) to reflect the new SunGo fare payment system; and declaring an emergency.

(This item was considered separately at the request of Council Member Fimbres.)

It was moved by Vice Mayor Romero, duly seconded, and passed by a roll call vote of 7 to 0, that Consent Agenda Items a – e, with the exception of Items b and e, which were considered separately, be passed and adopted and the proper action taken.

7. CONSENT AGENDA – ITEM B

b. FINANCE: AUTHORIZING AN EQUIPMENT LEASE-PURCHASE AGREEMENT FOR THE INSTALLATION OF BLEACHERS IN THE TUCSON CONVENTION CENTER

1. Report from City Manager MAY29-13-210 CITY WIDE
2. Resolution No. 22035 a resolution of the Mayor and Council of the City of Tucson, Arizona, authorizing and approving the execution and delivery of an Equipment Lease-Purchase Agreement; approving negotiations with the purchaser of the Equipment Lease-Purchase Agreement; fixing the maximum aggregate principle amount and the maximum term of the lease payments to be made pursuant to the Equipment Lease-Purchase Agreement; and declaring an emergency.

Roger Randolph, City Clerk, announced the first item to be considered separately was Consent Agenda Item b at the request of Council Member Fimbres.

Council Member Fimbres asked for clarification on the project lease cost, as different figures were given during the April 9, 2013, Mayor and Council study session.

Kelly Gottschalk, Assistant City Manager/Chief Financial Officer, replied the \$1.5 million was a not-to-exceed number. She further stated that the issuance cost would be approximately one million, one hundred twenty-nine thousand dollars.

It was moved by Council Member Fimbres, duly seconded, and passed by a roll call vote of 7 to 0, that Consent Agenda Item b be passed and adopted and the proper action taken.

7. CONSENT AGENDA – ITEM E

e. TUCSON CODE: AMENDING (CHAPTER 2) RELATING TO THE NEW SUNGO FARE PAYMENT SYSTEM

1. Report from City Manager MAY29-13-213 CITY WIDE

2. Ordinance No. 11082 relating to Transportation; amending the Tucson Code, Chapter 2, Article I, Section 2-18 (Sun Tran fares); Section 2-19 (Sun Van fares); and Section 2-22 (Transit System Fare Subsidy Program) to reflect the new SunGo fare payment system; and declaring an emergency.

Roger Randolph, City Clerk, announced the last item to be considered separately was Consent Agenda Item e at the request of Council Member Fimbres.

Council Member Fimbres asked if government entities, school districts, correctional institutions, and organizations such as Pima County One Stop would fall under the nonprofit program economy fares.

Carlos de Leon, Deputy Director, Transportation Department, replied affirmatively.

It was moved by Council Member Fimbres, duly seconded, and passed by a roll call vote of 7 to 0, that Consent Agenda Item e be passed and adopted and the proper action taken.

8. PUBLIC HEARING: AMENDING TUCSON CODE (CHAPTER 3) SIGN CODE, RELATING TO CANOPY SIGNS

Mayor Rothschild announced City Manager's communication number 202, dated May 29, 2013, was received into and made part of the record. He also announced this was the time and place legally advertised for a public hearing on the proposed amendments to the Sign Code, regarding canopy signs. He said the public hearing was scheduled to last for no more than one hour and speakers were limited to five minute presentations.

Jude Cook, owner, Cook & Company Signmakers, urged the Mayor and Council to support the amendments.

It was moved by Council Member Fimbres, duly seconded, and carried by a voice vote of 7 to 0, to close the public hearing.

Mayor Rothschild asked the City Clerk to read Ordinance 11076 by number and title only.

Ordinance No. 11076 relating to Planning and Zoning; amending certain portions of the Tucson Code, Chapter 3, Article II, Section 3-11, Definitions; Article V, Sign Types and General Regulations, Sections 3-51, 3-53, 3-58, 3-59, 3-60 to 3-71; Article VI, Signs by District, Sections 3-72 to 3-84; declaring a sunset date; and declaring an emergency.

It was moved by Council Member Uhlich, duly seconded, to pass and adopt Ordinance 11076.

Council Member Kozachik asked if the ordinance assumed a waiver of TRE cost if the canopy extended out over public right-of-way or City property.

Ernie Duarte, Director, Planning and Development Services, said he did not believe it would waive the requirements.

Ordinance 11076 was passed and adopted by a roll call vote of 7 to 0.

9. ZONING: (C9-13-01) A-FAMILY SELF-STORAGE – BROADWAY BOULEVARD, C-1 TO C-2, CITY MANAGER’S REPORT, DIRECT ORDINANCE ADOPTION.

Mayor Rothschild announced City Manager’s communication number 204, dated May 29, 2013, was received into and made part of the record. He also announced this was a request to rezone the property located on the north side of Broadway Boulevard, west of Stoner Avenue from C-1 to C-2 zoning. He said the Zoning Examiner and staff recommend approval subject to certain conditions.

Mayor Rothschild asked if the applicant was present and agreeable to the proposed requirements.

Jim Portner, applicant, said they reviewed and agreed to all the requirements.

Mayor Rothschild asked the City Clerk to read Ordinance 11078 by number and title only.

Ordinance No. 11078 relating to Zoning; amending zoning district boundaries in the area on the north side of Broadway Boulevard, west of Stoner Avenue in Case C9-13-01 A-Family Self-Storage – Broadway Boulevard, C-1 to C-2; and setting an effective date.

It was moved by Council Member Cunningham, duly seconded, and passed by a roll call vote of 7 to 0, to approve the request as recommended by the Zoning Examiner and pass and adopt Ordinance 11078.

10. ZONING: (C9-10-03) ST. AUGUSTINE – 22ND STREET, RX-1 TO O-3, ORDINANCE ADOPTION

Mayor Rothschild announced City Manager’s communication number 203, dated May 29, 2013, was received into and made part of the record. He asked the City Clerk to read Ordinance 11077 by number and title only.

Ordinance No. 11077 relating to Zoning; amending zoning district boundaries in the area on the south side of 22nd Street, east of the south leg of Camino Seco in Case C9-10-03 St. Augustine – 22nd Street, RX-1 to O-3; and setting an effective date.

A three-fourths majority vote would be necessary to adopt the ordinance.

Council Member Cunningham spoke regarding his support of the ordinance adoption.

It was moved by Council Member Cunningham, duly seconded, and passed by a roll call vote of 7 to 0, to pass and adopt Ordinance 11077.

11. INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT AMENDMENT: WITH PIMA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT FOR PROVIDING JURORS TO TUCSON CITY COURT

Mayor Rothschild announced City Manager's communication number 206, dated May 29, 2013, was received into and made part of the record. He asked the City Clerk to read Resolution 22056 by number and title only.

Resolution No. 22056 relating to Intergovernmental Agreements; approving and authorizing the execution of Amendment No. 01 to the Intergovernmental Agreement between the Arizona Superior Court in Pima County and the Tucson City Court for providing jurors to the Tucson City Court; and declaring an emergency.

It was moved by Council Member Scott, duly seconded, to pass and adopt Resolution 22056.

Council Member Kozachik asked about the cost of services.

Liz Miller, Deputy City Manager, replied that the average cost over the last three years was approximately one hundred-five thousand dollars. She added these were reasonable costs and good intergovernmental effort with Pima County.

Council Member Fimbres asked if the costs included the twelve dollars per day and mileage.

Ms. Miller responded the amounts being paid were comprehensive amounts.

Resolution 22056 was passed and adopted by a roll call vote of 7 to 0.

12. FINANCE: ESTABLISHING A COMMUNITY BANKING PROGRAM

Mayor Rothschild announced City Manager's communication number 209, dated May 29, 2013, was received into and made part of the record.

It was moved by Council Member Uhlich, duly seconded, and carried by a voice vote of 7 to 0, to approve the Community Banking Program as presented.

13. TUCSON CODE: AMENDING (CHAPTER 11) RELATING TO REPORTING LOST OR STOLEN FIREARMS

Mayor Rothschild announced City Manager's communication number 211, dated May 29, 2013, was received into and made part of the record. He asked the City Clerk to read Ordinance 11081 by number and title only.

Ordinance No. 11081 relating to Public Health and Safety; amending the Tucson Code, Chapter 11, Crimes and Offenses, Article I, In General by adding a new Section 11-56 to require reporting of stolen and/or lost firearms; and declaring an emergency.

It was moved by Council Member Kozachik, duly seconded, to pass and adopt Ordinance 11081.

Council Member Fimbres asked what the penalties would be for failing to report lost or stolen firearms under the proposal.

Michael Rankin, City Attorney, said under the proposed ordinance, if a person were prosecuted for a violation and found responsible, it would be a civil infraction and not a criminal offense, with a fine of one hundred dollars.

Council Member Fimbres further requested Mr. Rankin to define forty-eight hours in terms of the proposal, and to explain what would happen if a person was out of town when it was discovered their firearm was stolen.

Mr. Rankin explained the ordinance provided that the clock would start running, not just when firearms had been stolen or lost, but when owners knew or should have known that the firearms were lost or stolen. He added it would be a case-by-case, fact-specific determination.

Council Member Fimbres asked if there were other cities in Arizona with similar ordinances.

Mr. Rankin stated he was not aware of any, but that there were similar ordinances in other states, and in fact, some state-wide statutory provisions in Connecticut and New Jersey. He added that he looked at several California cities, Sacramento in particular for the language of that ordinance.

Council Member Scott requested clarification of the wording "should have known."

Mr. Rankin replied that, as with any offenses, it would be the burden of the prosecution to prove that the elements of an offense were in place. There would be no assumptions that a person knew or should have known, but it would have to be based on facts that could be explained to the courts for someone to be found responsible.

Ordinance 11081 was passed and adopted by a roll call vote of 7 to 0.

14. CITY GOVERNMENT: ESTABLISHING A PORTION OF "GENNA'S LAW"

Mayor Rothschild announced City Manager's communication number 212, dated May 29, 2013, was received into and made part of the record. He asked the City Clerk to read Ordinance 11080 by number and title only.

Ordinance No. 11080 relating to Public Health and Safety; establishing a portion of "Genna's Law" to authorize law enforcement to pursue a warrant to secure evidence of a person's alcohol concentration in relation to the discharge of a firearm; and declaring an emergency.

Comments were made by Council Member Cunningham, explaining the law and his vote.

It was moved by Council Member Cunningham, duly seconded, to pass and adopt Ordinance 11080.

Council Member Scott asked if there was something already on the books that said if it was discovered by TPD that if someone was inebriated or impaired in any way, would there be consequences.

Michael Rankin, City Attorney, stated with respect to firearms in particular, there was a State statute that would make it a Class 6 Felony to discharge a weapon in a criminally negligent way within the city limits. He added that to date, State law did not specifically deal with intoxication in connection with firearms.

Council Member Scott asked for confirmation that there were two laws on the books, one regarding firearms and intoxication, and one regarding discharging firearms within city limits, and if they needed to be married and clarified in some way.

Mr. Rankin responded that this would not create a new offense, but established an enforcement mechanism under which law enforcement, in investigating that type of conduct, developed probable cause in belief that the persons were criminally negligent in part because they were intoxicated. Law enforcement could then pursue a warrant to secure scientific evidence of the person's alcohol level so that evidence could be obtained to support prosecution under one of the State violations.

Council Member Scott asked for clarification that it was a refinement and necessary, because she seemed it to be redundant.

Mr. Rankin replied that State law did not say if a weapon fired while impaired was a crime, but if one was criminally negligent in the discharge of weapons, that was the crime. It would connect whether someone was impaired or not to support the determination of criminal negligence. He added that it was a separate issue and not redundant.

Council Member Fimbres asked if a bill introduced to the State Legislature was tabled, and if the ordinance reflected the bill. If it did, he asked if the ordinance would need to be adjusted if the legislature passed it in the future.

Mr. Rankin said the bill never got out of committee. He also said adjustments would be in small parts, as “Genna’s Law”, and at the State level, they would do much more than what could be accomplished at the local level under the level of State preemption that had been adopted by the State Legislature. He added that this was the portion of the law he felt could be enacted lawfully under authority as a charter city.

Ordinance 11080 was passed and adopted by a roll call vote of 7 to 0.

15. DEVELOPMENT AND PURCHASE AGREEMENT: APPROVING THE RESTATED AGREEMENT WITH THE GADSDEN COMPANY FOR THE CITY-OWNED MISSION DISTRICT PARCELS

Mayor Rothschild announced City Manager’s communication number 215, dated May 29, 2013, was received into and made part of the record. He asked the City Clerk to read Resolution 22058 by number and title only.

Resolution No. 22058 relating to Development; authorizing and approving a Restated Development and Real Estate Purchase Agreement with the Gadsden Company for the City-owned Mission District Parcels south of Congress Street, west of the Santa Cruz River; and declaring an emergency.

Michael Rankin, City Attorney, read the following clerical corrections into the record:

- The agreement should be referred to as an “Amended and Restated” Development and Real Estate Purchase Agreement; therefore “Amended and Restated” would need to be inserted on pages one and two.
- Two asterisk marks were a cut-and-paste error in the credits table on page three.

Mr. Rankin stated the agreement had been revised consistent with the directions given by the Mayor and Council on April 9, 2013. Mr. Rankin indicated that in the Mayor and Council Communication, he set out the history of the agreement and included direction from the Mayor and Council. He said it addressed Phase II and the transaction included the purchase of Block A. The purchase price would be based on square footage and priced at \$9.50 per square foot from the original agreement and the off-sets in terms of the credits already earned. He added it also secured Gadsden's future performance of the remaining obligations through bonds and other security instruments.

Mr. Rankin stated that this agreement was structured that in addition to the original performance bonds that were already required which doubled from \$250,000 to \$500,000; it required an additional payment bond for the same amount, \$500,000, which

provided the City with a \$1 million security which survived the closing of Phases II and III. He said the City could then continue to hold the security for another year at the closure of Phase III to ensure the performance of the obligations on which the credits were based.

Mr. Rankin added a provision for an additional level of security that would apply at the close of Phase III. He said this was in the event that in Phase II, in the development, \$15 million was required to be commenced. If they used this for anything other than what they built in Phase II or other uses for which they received credit, an escrow account was required and funded to cover the balance of any unearned credits that remain at that time. He stated the Mayor and Council had previously stated that any restated agreement include a waiver of the requirement that the City redevelop the adjoining brickyard property and bring it to construction grade, and that the City not have any closing obligations in Phase II or III other than those expressed in the new agreement, which were the standard agreements.

Mr. Rankin said that what was previously provided for Phase III and IV could be folded into a single Phase III and eliminate one of the phases. The new agreement also specified the purchase prices for Phase II and III and laid out the credits and how they were calculated and earned. The agreement also carried out the Council's direction that Gadsden complete Linda Avenue. He explained that the amount of credits included in Gadsden's sale price for Phase II was \$697,947 and for Phase III was \$2,348,086. He stated the agreement did provide the ability for Gadsden to assign, which was the same as under the prior agreement. He expected that at the close of Phase II there would be an assignment to another LLC that Gadsden would be the controlling member of.

Mr. Rankin said the City would process the approval of this assignment administratively and only approve it if the assignment preserved the responsibility of Gadsden to carry out the obligations and guarantee the performance of the obligations under the development agreement.

Comments were made by Council Member Romero.

It was moved by Council Member Romero, duly seconded, to pass and adopt Resolution 22058.

Mayor Rothschild asked Mr. Rankin about the status of the closing on Phase Two and the requirements of HUD financing.

Mr. Rankin said the HUD financing was addressed in the restated agreement, which required Gadsden to submit a completed application by a fixed date, which he believed would be in December 2013, and to provide regular status reporting during Phase Two. He asked the representatives of Gadsden to provide updated status of where they were with the application and with the HUD submission.

Jerry Dixon, the Gadsden Company, stated all third-party reports necessary for the application – market studies, environmental studies, etc. – had been completed, and that they were ready to make the application as soon as they closed on the property.

Mayor Rothschild asked if, assuming the Council approved the agreement that night, they would be prepared to close.

Mr. Dixon replied affirmatively.

Comments were made by Council Member Uhlich regarding her support of the agreements and by Council Member Kozachik explaining his vote.

Resolution 22058 was passed and adopted by a roll call vote of 6 to 1 (Council Member Kozachik dissenting).

16. BOARDS, COMMITTEES AND COMMISSIONS: TUCSON IMMIGRANT WELCOMING TASK FORCE

Mayor Rothschild announced City Manager's communication number 214, dated May 29, 2013, was received into and made part of the record. He also announced that time had been sent aside for a discussion of the Tucson Immigrant Welcoming Task Force.

Council Member Romero stated at the request of the faith-based community and immigrant rights groups last year, the Mayor and Council voted to establish Tucson as an immigrant welcoming city. The resolution committed the City to on-going dialogue around immigrant rights issues. She said one group had requested the City establish an on-line immigrant resources directory.

Council Member Romero added that action on this item would formalize the Immigrant Welcoming Task Force and create a resource web portal, neither of which would take any resources to formalize. She added Liana Perez, Office of Equal Opportunity Programs, had been requested to be the contact for the City and assisting in leading the task force.

Additional comments were made by Council Members Uhlich and Fimbres.

Mayor Rothschild stated the item required no formal action, and thanked Ms. Perez for her efforts.

17. APPOINTMENTS TO BOARDS, COMMITTEES AND COMMISSIONS

Mayor Rothschild announced City Manager's communication number 205, dated May 29, 2013, was received into and made part of the record. He asked for a motion to approve the appointments in the report.

It was moved by Council Member Cunningham, duly seconded, and carried by a voice vote of 7 to 0, to approve the appointments of Dennis Regnier and Shelby Ackerman and the reappointment of Mauricio Lopez to the Pima County-Tucson Commission on Addiction, Prevention and Treatment (CAPT).

Mayor Rothschild asked if there were any personal appointments to be made.

There were none.

18. ADJOURNMENT: 7:21 p.m.

Mayor Rothschild announced the next regularly scheduled meeting of the Mayor and Council would be held on Tuesday, June 4, 2013, at 5:30 p.m., in the Mayor and Council Chambers, City Hall, 255 West Alameda, Tucson, Arizona.

MAYOR

ATTEST:

CITY CLERK

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHENTICITY

I, the undersigned, have read the foregoing transcript of the meeting of the Mayor and Council of the City of Tucson, Arizona, held on the 29th day of May 2013, and do hereby certify that it is an accurate transcription.

DEPUTY CITY CLERK

RWR:rm:ds